

On Prime Spectrum of an ADL**R. Vasu Babu¹, Ch.Prabakara Rao² & Phani Yedlapalli³**^{1&3}Department of Mathematics, Shri Vishnu Engineering
College for Women, Vishnupur, Bhimavaram,²Dadi Institute of Engineering and Technology, Anakapalle-
INDIA

Email: vasukgr@gmail.com ,

Email: raoprabhakar143@gmail.com

Email: phaniyedlapalli23@gmail.com

Abstract: In this paper, we equip the set of all prime ideals of an ADL with a suitable topology and call the resulting topological space the prime spectrum. We discuss certain important properties of the prime spectrum of a general ADL and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of prime ideals and minimal prime ideals, for a pseudo-complemented ADL to be a Stone ADL. The discussion on the prime spectrum in this paper facilitates the proof of a characterization theorem for Stone ADL's. We study the space of prime ideals of an ADL together with the Hull-Kernel topology, which is denoted by *specA*. It is proved here that *specA* has an open base consisting of compact open sets and that *specA* is compact if and only if *A* has a maximal element. Also, we have obtained certain necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of topological properties of *specA*, for an ADL to be a pseudo-complemented ADL or to be a Stone ADL.

AMS Subject Classification: 06D99**Key words:** Almost distributive lattice, Hausdorff space, Ideals, Prime ideals, Minimal prime ideals, Pseudo-complemented ADL, Prime spectrum and relatively complemented.**Introduction**

It is well known that a complemented distributive lattice is called a Boolean algebra and a ring with unity, in which every element is an idempotent, is called a Boolean ring. M. H. Stone [3] has proved that any Boolean algebra can be made into a Boolean ring and vice-versa. U. M. Swamy and G. C. Rao [4] have introduced the notion of an Almost Distributive

Lattice (abbreviated as ADL) is a common abstraction of several lattice theoretic and ring theoretic generalizations of Boolean algebras (Boolean rings). In this paper, we equip the set of all prime ideals of an ADL with a suitable topology and call the resulting topological space the prime spectrum. We discuss certain important properties of the prime spectrum of a general ADL and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of prime ideals and minimal prime ideals, for a pseudo-complemented ADL to be a Stone ADL.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall certain definitions and important results from [4, 6, 7, 8], those will be required in this paper.

Definition 2.1. A system $(A, \wedge, \vee, 0)$ is called an Almost Distributed Lattice (ADL) if A is a non-empty set, 0 is a distinguished element in A and \wedge, \vee are binary operations on A satisfying the following axioms for any a, b and c in A .

$$(1) \quad 0 \wedge a = 0$$

$$(2) \quad a \vee 0 = a$$

$$(3) \quad (a \vee b) \wedge c = (a \wedge c) \vee (b \wedge c)$$

$$(4) \quad a \vee (b \wedge c) = (a \vee b) \wedge (a \vee c)$$

$$(5) \quad a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$$

$$(6) \quad (a \vee b) \wedge b = a$$

Each of the axioms (1) through (6) above is independent from others. The element 0 is called the zero element. In the following we provide certain examples of ADL.

Example 2.2. Every distributive lattice bounded below is an ADL in which the least element is the zero element.

Several ring theoretic generalizations of Boolean algebras (other than Boolean rings, which are precisely Boolean algebras) can be made as ADL's. One such is the following.

Example 2.3. Let R be a commutative regular ring with unity (that is, R is a commutative ring with unity in which, for each $a \in R$, there exists an (unique) idempotent $a_0 \in R$ such that $aR = a_0R$). For any a and b in R , define $a \wedge b = a_0b$ and $a \vee b = a + b + a_0b$. Then $(R, \wedge, \vee, 0)$ is an ADL, where 0 is the zero element in the ring R .

Definition 2.4. For any Boolean algebra B , the Boolean space X_B given above is called the Stone space of B or the spectrum of B .

Theorem 2.5. For any subset X of an ADL A ,

$$\langle X \rangle = \left\{ \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \wedge a : n \geq 0, x_i \in X \text{ and } a \in A \right\}$$

Corollary 2.6. Let I be an ideal of an ADL A and $a \in A$ such that $a \notin I$. Then there exists a prime ideal P of A such that $I \subseteq P$ and $a \notin P$.

Theorem 2.7. The following are equivalent to each other for any prime ideal P of an ADL A

- (1) P is a minimal prime ideal of A
- (2) $A - P$ is a maximal filter of A
- (3) For any $a \in P$, $a^* \notin P$

Theorem 2.8. The following are equivalent to each other for any ADL A .

- (1) A is weakly pseudo-complemented.
- (2) \bar{A} is a pseudo-complemented lattice.

(3) A is pseudo-completed.

3 Prime Spectrum of an Almost Distributive Lattice

In this section we discuss certain important properties of the prime spectrum of a general ADL and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition, in terms of prime ideals and minimal prime ideals, for a pseudo-complemented ADL to be a Stone ADL.

Definition 3.1. Let $A = (A, \wedge, \vee, 0)$ be a non-trivial Almost Distributive Lattice (ADL) and X be the set of all prime ideals of A . For any $a \in A$, define $X_a = \{P \in X : a \notin P\}$.

First note that, since A is non-trivial, X is a non-empty set. The proof of the following is a straight forward verification. Here after, throughout the remaining part of this paper, by an ADL we mean a nontrivial ADL only; for, then only prime ideals exist.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be the set of prime ideals of an ADL A . Then the following holds for any a and $b \in A$.

$$(1) X_a = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a = 0$$

$$(2) X_a = X \Leftrightarrow a \text{ is maximal}$$

$$(3) X_a \cap X_b = X_{a \wedge b}$$

$$(4) X_a \cup X_b = X_{a \vee b}$$

$$(5) X_a = X_b \Leftrightarrow a \square b \Leftrightarrow \langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle$$

$$(6) \text{ For any } S \subseteq A, \bigcup_{s \in S} X_s = \{P \in X : S \not\subseteq P\} = \{P \in X : \langle S \rangle \not\subseteq P\}, \text{ where } \langle S \rangle \text{ is}$$

the ideal generated by S in A .

By 3.2(3), it follows that the class of all X_a 's $a \in A$ forms a base for a topology on X .

Definition 3.3. Let X be the set of all prime ideals of an ADL A . The topology on X for which $\{X_a : a \in A\}$ is a base is called

the Stone topology or Hull-Kernel topology. The set X together with Stone topology is called the Stone space of A or prime spectrum of A or simply the spectrum of A and it is denoted by $\text{spec } A$.

Note that the elements in the topological space $\text{spec } A$ are the prime ideals of A and the open sets in $\text{spec } A$ are precisely of the form $X(I) = \bigcup_{a \in I} X_a = \{P \in \text{spec } A : I \not\subseteq P\}$ for some ideal I of A . The name Hull-Kernel topology is justified by the following.

Theorem 3.4. Let A be an ADL and $X = \text{spec } A$. For any $Y \subseteq X$, the kernel of Y be defined by $K(Y) = \bigcap_{P \in Y} P$ and for any $B \subseteq A$ the hull of B be defined by $h(B) = \{P \in X : B \subseteq P\}$ then for any $Y \subseteq X$, the topological closure in $\text{spec } A$ is precisely $h(K(Y))$, hull of the kernel of the Y .

Proof: Let $Y \subseteq X$. First note that $h(B) = h(\langle B \rangle) = X - X(\langle B \rangle)$ and hence $h(B)$ is closed in X for all $B \subseteq A$. In particular, $h(K(Y))$ is closed in X and $Y \subseteq h(K(Y))$. On the other hand, suppose that F is a closed subset of X such that $Y \subseteq F$. Then $F = X(I)$ for some ideal I of A and $Y \cap X(I) = \emptyset$. Therefore $I \subseteq P$ for all $P \in Y$ which implies that $I \subseteq K(Y)$.

Therefore $X(I) \cap h(K(Y)) = \emptyset$ and hence $h(K(Y)) \subseteq X - X(I) = F$. Thus $h(K(Y))$ is closure of Y in X .

Theorem 3.5. Let A be an ADL, $X = \text{spec } A$ and $Y \subseteq X$. Then Y is a compact open subset of X if and only if $Y = X_a$ for some $a \in A$.

Corollary 3.6. For any $a \in A$, X_a is a compact open subset of $\text{spec } A$.

Corollary 3.7. For any ADL A , $\text{spec}A$ is compact if and only if A has a maximal element.

Corollary 3.8. Let A be a pseudo-complemented ADL. Then $\text{spec}A$ is compact.

Next we interpret certain properties of ADL's in term of topological properties of their prime spectra. First, we have the following.

Definition 3.9. An ADL $A = (A, \wedge, \vee, 0)$ is said to be relatively complemented if for any x, a in A with $x \leq a$, there exist $y \in A$ such that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \vee y = a$. (That is, the interval $[0, a]$ is a complemented lattice for all $a \in A$).

Theorem 3.10. The following are equivalent to each other for any ADL A .

- (1) A is relatively complemented.
- (2) For any a and b in A with $a \leq b$, the interval $[a, b]$ is complemented.
- (3) For any a and b in A , there exists $x \in A$ such that $a \wedge x = 0$ and $a \vee x = a \vee b$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2):

Let a and $b \in A$ and $a \leq b$. Let $x \in [a, b]$; that is, $a \leq x \leq b$. By (1), there exists $y \in A$ such that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \vee y = b$. Since $x \wedge y = 0$, we have $y \wedge x = x \wedge y = 0$ and $y \vee x = x \vee y = b$. From this, it follows that $y \leq y \vee x = b$. Put $z = a \vee y$. Then $a \leq z \leq b$ and $x \wedge z = x \wedge (a \vee y) = (x \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge y) = a \vee 0 = a$ and $x \vee z = x \vee a \vee y$

$$= x \vee y \vee a \quad (\text{since } y \vee a = a \vee y)$$

$$= b \vee a$$

$$= b$$

Thus $[a, b]$ is a complemented lattice.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) :

Let a and $b \in A$. Then $a \in [0, a \vee b]$. By (2), there exists $x \in [0, a \vee b]$ such that $a \wedge x = 0$ and $a \vee x = a \vee b$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) :

Let x and $a \in A$ with $x \leq a$. Then there exists, by (3), $y \in A$ such that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \vee y = x \vee a = a$. Thus A is relatively complemented.

First, let us recall that a topological space X is said to be a Hausdorff space if, for any $x \neq y \in X$, there exists open sets G and H and $G \cap H = \emptyset$. Also, a topological space X is said to be a T_1 -space if, for any $x \neq y \in X$, there exist open sets G and H in X such that $x \in G - H$ and $y \in H - G$. It is known that X is a T_1 -space if and only if any singleton subset of X is closed (equivalently, any finite subset of X is closed)

Theorem 3.11. *The following are equivalent to each other for any non-trivial ADL.*

- (1) *specA is a Hausdorff space.*
- (2) *specA is a T_1 -space.*
- (3) *Every prime ideal of A is maximal.*
- (4) *Every prime filter of A is maximal.*
- (5) *A is relatively complemented.*

Proof: (1) \Rightarrow (2): is trivial

(2) \Rightarrow (3) :

Let P be a prime ideal of A . Then $P \in \text{spec}A$. By (2), $\{P\}$ is closed. By theorem 3.4,

$h(K(\{P\})) = \{\overline{P}\} = \{P\}$. But $K\{P\} = P$ only. Therefore $h(P) = \{P\}$

. This means that P is the only prime ideal containing P .

Since every proper ideal is contained in a prime ideal, it follows, that P must be a maximum ideal of A .

(3) \Rightarrow (4) :

Follows from the fact that, for any $\phi \neq P \subseteq A$, P is a prime ideal of A , P is a prime ideal of A if and only if $A - P$ is a prime filter of A . Also each of (3) and (4) is equivalent to saying that every prime ideal (filter) is a minimal prime ideal (filter).

(4) \Rightarrow (5) :

Let x and $a \in A$ such that $x \leq a$. If $x = 0$ (then we can take $y = a$) or $x = a$, we are through. Therefore, we can assume that $0 < x < a$. Consider the annihilator ideal $(x)^* = \{y \in A : x \wedge y = 0\}$. We know that $(x)^*$ is an ideal of A . Now, we prove that $a \in (x)^* \vee \langle x \rangle \subseteq P$. Then, by **theorem 2.6**, there exists a prime ideal P of A such that $(x)^* \vee \langle x \rangle \subseteq P$ and $a \notin P$. We have $x \in \langle x \rangle \subseteq P$. Since P is a minimal prime ideal and $x \in P$, we should get, by **theorem 2.7**, that $(x)^* \not\subseteq P$. This is a contradiction, since $(x)^* \subseteq (x)^* \vee \langle x \rangle \subseteq P$. Therefore, $a \in (x)^* \vee \langle x \rangle$ and hence $a = y \vee (x \wedge z)$ for some $y \in (x)^*$ and $z \in A$.

Now, we have $y \leq y \vee (x \wedge z) = a$ and $x \wedge y = 0$ (since $y \in (x)^*$).

Also, $x \vee y = y \vee x$ (since $x \wedge y = 0 = y \wedge x$)

$$= y \vee x \vee (x \wedge z)$$

$$= y \vee x \vee y \vee (x \wedge z) \quad (\text{since } y \vee x = y \vee x \vee y)$$

$$= y \vee x \vee a$$

$$= a \quad (\text{since } x \leq a \text{ and } y \leq a)$$

Thus A is relatively complemented.

(5) \Rightarrow (1):

Suppose that A is relatively complemented. Let $P \neq Q \in \text{spec}A$. First, we prove that $P \not\subset Q$ and $Q \not\subset P$. For suppose, if possible, that $P \subseteq Q$. Since $P \neq Q$, there exists $a \in Q$ such that $a \notin P$. Now,

$b \in A \Rightarrow$ there exist $x \in A$ such that $a \wedge x = 0$ and $a \vee x = a \vee b$

$$\Rightarrow x \in P \text{ (since } a \wedge x = 0 \in P \text{ and } a \notin P)$$

$$\Rightarrow a \vee x \in Q \text{ (since } a \in Q \text{ and } x \in P \subset Q)$$

$$\Rightarrow a \vee b \in Q$$

$$\Rightarrow b = (a \vee b) \wedge b \in Q$$

This implies that $Q = A$, which is a contradiction to the fact that any prime ideal must be a proper ideal. Thus $P \not\subset Q$ and similarly $Q \not\subset P$. This implies that any prime ideal is maximal. Therefore every prime ideal is a minimal prime ideal. Now, choose $x \in P$ such that $x \notin Q$.

Then, by theorem 2.7, $(x)^* \not\subset P$, there exists $y \in (x)^*$ such that $y \notin P$. Now, $P \in X_y, Q \in X_x$ and $X_y \wedge X_x = X_{x \wedge y} = X_0 = \emptyset$ and X_x and X_y are open sets in $X = \text{spec}A$. Thus $\text{spec}A$ is a Hausdorff space.

In the next theorem, we arrive at a necessary and sufficient condition in terms of topological properties of $\text{spec}A$, for an ADLA to be pseudo-complemented.

Theorem 3.12. Let A be an ADL and $X = \text{spec}A$. Then A is pseudo-complemented if and only if the interior of the complement of X_a is compact in X for all $a \in A$ and, in this case, $X_a^* = (X - X_a)^\circ$ for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Suppose that A is pseudo-complemented and $*$ is a pseudo-complementation on A .

Let $a \in A$. Since $a^* \wedge a = 0$, we get that $X_{a^*} \cap X_a = X_{a^* \wedge a} = X_0 = \phi$ and therefore $X_{a^*} \subseteq X - X_a$, since X_{a^*} is open, we have $X_{a^*} \subseteq (X - X_a)^\circ$, the interior of $X - X_a$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} P \in (X - X_a)^\circ &\Rightarrow \exists b \in A \text{ such that } P \in X_b \subseteq (X - X_a)^\circ \\ &\Rightarrow P \in X_b \text{ and } X_b \cap X_a = \phi \\ &\Rightarrow P \in X_b \text{ and } b \wedge a = 0 = a \wedge b \\ &\Rightarrow b \notin P \text{ and } a^* \wedge b = b \\ &\Rightarrow a^* \notin P \\ &\Rightarrow P \in X_{a^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(X - X_a)^\circ = X_{a^*}$ which is compact (by theorem 3.5).

Conversely suppose that $(X - X_a)^\circ$ is compact in X for all $a \in A$. Again by theorem 3.5, $(X - X_a)^\circ = X_{a^*}$ for some $a^* \in A$.

That is, for each $a \in A$, we can choose $a^* \in A$ such that $(X - X_a)^\circ = X_{a^*}$. Note that a^* need not be unique. We can use the axiom of choice for choosing a^* for each $a \in A$. Now, $X_{a^*} \subseteq X - X_a$ and hence $\phi = X_{a^*} \cap X_a = X_{a^* \wedge a}$.

Therefore $a^* \wedge a = 0$. Also, for any $b \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} a \wedge b = 0 &\Rightarrow X_b \cap X_a = \phi \\ &\Rightarrow X_b \subseteq X - X_a \\ &\Rightarrow X_b \subseteq (X - X_a)^\circ \quad (\because X_b \text{ is open}) \\ &\Rightarrow X_b \subseteq X_{a^*} \\ &\Rightarrow X_{a^* \wedge b} = X_{a^*} \cap X_b = X_b \\ &\Rightarrow a^* \wedge b \sim b \quad (\text{by 3.2(5)}) \\ &\Rightarrow a^* \wedge b = (a^* \wedge b) \wedge b = b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $*$ is a weak pseudo-complementation on A . By theorem 2.8 [3] A is pseudo-complemented.

References

- [1] Birkhoff, G., Lattice Theory, American mathematical society colluquimpublications, 1967.
- [2] Swamy, U.M., Rao, G.C. and Rao, G.N., Pseudo complementation on Almost Distributive Lattices, South East Asian Bull. Math., Vol.24 (2000), 95-104.
- [3] Stone, M.H., Topological representation of distributive Lattices and Brouwerian Logic, CasopisPes.Math.Fyz., Vol. 67(1937), 1-25.
- [4] Swamy, U.M. and Rao, G.C., Almost Distributive Lattices, J. Australian Math. Soc. (Series A), Vol.31 (1981), 77-91.
- [5] Speed, T.P., on stone Lattices, J. Australian Math.Soc., Vol 9 (1967), 297-307.
- [6] Speed, T.P., The space of ideals of distributive lattice -I; Prime ideals, Bull. dela Soc. oyale des Sci., Vol.38 (1969), 610-628.
- [7] Speed, T.P., The space of ideals of distributive lattice -II; Minimal Primeideals, J. Australian Math.Soc., Vol.18 (1970), 54-72.
- [8] Gratzer, G., General Lattice Theory, Acadamic press, New York, 1978.9
- [9] Vasu babu, R., Durgarao, R.S. and Murthy, T.S.R., Maximal deals and Filters of an ADL's International Journal of Scientific and Innovation Mathematical Research., Vol.3 (2015), 704-709.
- [10] Vasu babu, R., Sundar Raj, Ch.S., and Venkateswarlu, B., Weak Pseudo-complementations on ADL's, Archivum Mathematicum (BRNO) Tomus 50 (2014), 151-159.